

## MINUTES

## ATTACHMENT 5

### CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH TIDELANDS ADVISORY COMMITTEE REGULAR MEETING

MONDAY, MAY 13, 2019 – 3:00 P.M.

Council Chambers  
825 Imperial Beach Blvd.  
Imperial Beach, CA 91932

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Archer called the meeting to order at 3:08 p.m.

#### **ROLL CALL**

Members Present: Robertson, Gill  
Members Absent: Ellis  
Vice Chair Absent: Hill  
Chair Present: Archer  
Staff Present: Assistant City Manager Dush, Assistant Community Development Director Foltz, Deputy City Clerk Carballo

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

None.

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR (1.1)**

**MOTION BY ARCHER, SECOND BY ROBERTSON, TO APPROVE THE REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 8, 2019. MOTION CARRIED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:**

**AYES: BOARDMEMBERS: ROBERTSON, GILL, ARCHER**  
**NOES: BOARDMEMBERS: NONE**  
**ABSENT: BOARDMEMBERS: HILL, ELLIS**

**1.1 APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 8, 2019 REGULAR MEETING MINUTES.**

#### **BUSINESS FROM THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (2.1)**

**2.1 2019 RESILIENT IMPERIAL BEACH (RIB) LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM/GENERAL PLAN (LCP/GP) UPDATE; IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (IP); CLIMATE ACTION PLAN (CAP) AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION (ND) (MF 1234).**

City Planner Nakagawa gave a PowerPoint presentation on the item.

Mitch McKay voiced concerns that the RIB LCP posted on the City's website is not the current version and that the 2016 Revell report is lacking and short sighted. Some key elements such as sand replenishment and the offshore reef were not taken into consideration.

Michael Carey provided handouts for the board members to review the changes in the zoning language. He gave a history regarding the zoning changes made to the C/MU-1 and C/MU-2 zone along Seacoast. He continued to explain the new language in Title 19 and stated that to qualify for a Conditional Use Permit now, 2 out of 3 conditions need to be met. He urged the Boardmembers to support the new language.

In response to member Robertson's questions, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the language on the zoning handout is what is being proposed. Before, if someone wanted to build a little bit higher or have more density in the C/MU-2 Zone they would need to meet 2 conditions to qualify. Now, being LEED certified, providing a parking study, and providing a narrative for the community are mandatory. Additionally, you must meet 2 other incentives such as providing additional commercial space along the lot frontage, additional open space or additional setbacks and step backs.

In response to Member Gill's question, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the City has asked for a parking study for the most recent developments as the community continues to have concern about parking issues.

In response to Chair Archer's questions, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz responded the proposed hotel at Seacoast and IB Blvd. did a parking study and traffic analysis, but the hotel has its own requirements because of the types of permits required. The project is still open to public review and the City Council will need to approve their proposals. He stated the parking study is based on City of Imperial Beach code requirements. Changing parking requirements has not been part of any discussions.

Member Robertson thanked staff for their efforts and inquired regarding page S11 section 7.1.9 related to sand mitigation fees. He stated the cost of sand replenishment is so high that any leftover funds should be applied to the next sand replenishment not put back into the general fund.

Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the LCP document that everyone has access to is the working draft. The City is still receiving comments and feedback from the public and making edits to the current document. A final draft will be presented to City Council for approval.

City Planner Nakagawa stated the deadline for comments is May 15<sup>th</sup>. He noted the City also received comments from the Coastal Commission that need to be evaluated. The final draft will go to City Council on June 19<sup>th</sup>.

In response to Chair Archer's question, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the final draft will be available for review prior to the Public Hearing.

In response to questions from Member Gill and Member Robertson, staff stated that some of the issues with the sand replenishment strategy are that it's very costly, the permits need to be applied for on a yearly basis, the available sand has to be compatible with the IB shore line, the frequency with which the sand has to be replenished and where along IB's beachfront the sand will be placed. They commented that more data is needed to figure out what the City wants to do moving forward other than sand replenishment.

In response to Chair Archer's questions, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the Revell report was used to assist the City. There is a lot of additional data that is needed but the new LCP is not limited by the Revell report. He stated the LCP can be updated at any time, but any changes would need to be approved by the Coastal Commission. City Planner Nakagawa stated the proposed LCP recognizes that changes might need to be the City's sea level rise strategies depending on future events.

Member Robertson voiced concerns on quantifying between sea level rise and storm surges. Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated Scripps is conducting research but IB will probably want to generate their own data points. City Planner Nakagawa stated that sea level rise also includes storm surges which cause much of the damage.

In response to questions and comments from the board Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the current report does not limit the City regarding the different strategies that can be used for shoreline protection if it's a feasible strategy.

City Planner Nakagawa gave a brief history on Goat Canyon dam. He stated the sand being trapped there is being examined for beach sand replenishment.

Mr. McKay commented there was historical data that supported construction of a reef offshore circa 1960. With the loss of sand replenishment, the reef has been eroded. With loss of sand replenishment both on shore and off shore the artificial reef was suffering. He stated the concern was that AECOM stated the Revell report was the "cornerstone" of the LCP, yet that document is short sighted and incomplete. He stated decisions should not be based on data contained in the Revell report.

In response to Chair Archer's question, City Planner Nakagawa stated the Revell report's findings were "middle of the road." There are studies that cite a more aggressive sea level rise. Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated that the primary objective of the City is to gather data so that the best strategy can be found when the time comes, and the City can adapt.

Chair Archer suggested removing the word “trigger points”. She stated storm events should not be considered sea level rise. Assistant Director of Community Development Director Foltz stated frequency and severity of storms can change and that causes unknowns in the large component that there are no answers for yet. The goal is to get policies in place to get the needed information.

Mr. McKay stated currently there are no definitions to what the thresholds are. He stated staff should put language in that reflects that those things are not defined yet. Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated it’s difficult to gauge future events, and it would not be a good idea for the City to pinhole itself with strategies that may not apply in the future. It’s a very difficult process because of the lack of data.

Member Robertson commented that there could be a year with many storm events but that does not constitute “sea level rise”. Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz reiterated that was the purpose – to set the groundwork and collect the data.

In response to member Gill’s question, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the cobble dune is listed as a potential strategy and it’s not currently being implemented.

City Planner Nakagawa stated the Coastal Commission favors the cobble dunes over the sea wall strategy.

Member Gill commented that small wooden fences, or plants can help to trap the sand and develop a natural berm. Currently IB does not have that. He inquired if that was feasible in IB. Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated there are fences along the street ends, however, if you move westward it would require a permit from the Coastal Commission.

Consensus of the Tidelands Advisory Committee to support the draft revisions to Title 19 (19.27.020), as proposed in the draft LCP, regarding the CUP requirements.

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/REPORTS (3)**

None.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Archer adjourned the meeting at 4:27 p.m.

---

Veronica Archer,  
Chair

---

Sunem Carballo, CMC  
Deputy City Clerk

## MINUTES

### CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH DESIGN REVIEW BOARD REGULAR MEETING

THURSDAY, MAY 16, 2019 – 4:00 P.M.

Council Chambers  
825 Imperial Beach Blvd.  
Imperial Beach, CA 91932

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Smith called the Regular Meeting to order at 4:07 p.m.

#### **ROLL CALL**

Board Members Present: Beltran, Bradley, Voronchihin  
Vice Chair Present: Hunter  
Chair Present: Smith  
Staff Members Present: Assistant City Manager Dush, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz, City Planner Nakagawa, Assistant Planner Openshaw, Deputy City Clerk Carballo

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

None.

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR (1.1)**

**1.1 APPROVAL OF THE REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF MARCH 21, 2019 AND APRIL 18, 2019.**

**MOTION BY HUNTER, SECOND BY BRADLEY TO APPROVE THE REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 18, 2019. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

#### **BUSINESS FROM THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (2.1-2.2)**

Vice Chair Hunter recused herself from discussion on Item No. 2.1 due to a potential conflict of interest and left the chambers at 4:12 p.m.

**2.1 BODYWORKS COLLISION CENTER (APPLICANT); DESIGN REVIEW CASE (DRC 180028) FOR THE CHANGE OF USE OF THE EXISTING BUILDING FROM OFFICE/RETAIL TO AUTOMOBILE REPAIR AT 753 10<sup>TH</sup> STREET (APN 626-291-05-00 AND 626-291-06-00), LOCATED IN THE C/MU-1 (GENERAL COMMERCIAL AND MIXED USE) ZONE (MF 1291).**

Assistant Planner Openshaw gave a PowerPoint presentation on the item.

In response to questions from the board members, applicant Liisa Thomas stated that the building is currently vacant, and she intends on painting the building. In her line of work, what comes out of the vehicle is very minimal, so she will be using a portable catch basin. Vehicles will not be washed on site nor will they be conducting continuous mechanical work.

Members Beltran, Bradley, Voronchihin and Chair Smith disclosed they visited the site.

In response to Chair Smith's question, Ms. Thomas stated she would prefer to keep the parking space in the front of the building, however California law states you cannot back out into a public right of way from a commercial establishment.

The following people spoke in support of the project:

Michael Carey  
Carol Seabury  
Lin Westgard  
Rita Davidson  
David G. Latty  
Cindy McClusky  
Mitch McKay  
Eddie Pizano  
Shirley Nakawatase  
Jean F. Villard

Candy Unger. Candy also submitted a letter of support from Tim O'Neal.

Member Voronchihin stated he was in support of the project but recommended some improvements to the landscaping.

Member Bradley stated the ornamental rock driveway should not be counted as part of the landscaping, and therefore the project had less than the required landscaping. He recommended the fence be a 6-foot wooden fence and not chain link.

Member Beltran stated that in his opinion the wood fence is a must. He commented overall landscaping is considered as such if it's used in design, but the driveway is being used as functional space. He suggested continuing the hedges along the side of the property and back toward the alley as well as increasing their height and changing the hedges in front of the overhead garage door to less than 3 feet high for safety purposes. He commended Ms. Thomas for caring about the community.

In response to Chair Smith's question, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz, stated that a chain link fence is not desired, and over the years the recommendation has been given to provide alternate fence materials such as wrought iron, vinyl, etc.

In response to Chair Smith's question, Ms. Thomas stated the sound-proofing material was a 1 – 1 ½ inch rubber lining that hangs inside the fence. Chair Smith stated it's important to show on the renderings what kind of fencing would be put in.

Discussion of the board members ensued regarding the landscaping on the south side of the building, the various options for installing pavers, how to incorporate more landscaping in that area and possibly continuing the hedges along the side of the property and toward the alley. Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated that as proposed the project may meet the landscaping requirements.

Member Voronchihin stated he supported the project going to City Council but recommended showing the adjacent properties in the rendering and providing paint samples.

Member Bradley recommended the fence be installed as indicated in the renderings, the plants be suitable for their intended purpose (height, etc.) and additional landscaping be provided to meet the requirement.

Member Beltran recommended approval with the following conditions: remove or go with shorter plant material on 10<sup>th</sup> Street on both sides of property, make sure plant material is suitable for screening, shrubs at the south property line should be evergreens and meet minimum height of 12 feet and plant materials should be continued towards the alley and up the alley.

Chair Smith recommended submitting the project to City Council for approval including more graphics of the surrounding area, smaller shrubs for the front of the property, installing a wood fence, extending the landscape along the property and north along the alley and using shrubs that reach 12 ft. or higher.

**MOTION BY VORONCHIHIN, SECOND BY BRADLEY, TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT DESIGN TO CITY COUNCIL WITH THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS:**

- Include elevations of the surrounding buildings
- Provide paint and material samples
- Plant heights in front of the building on the north and south property lines should not exceed 3 feet for safety purposes
- The fence should be installed as per the plans (wood)
- Extend landscape the length of the property towards the alley and to the north to meet the 15 % landscape requirement
- Make the height of the shrubs/trees a minimum of 12 feet

**MOTION CARRIED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:**

**AYES: BOARDMEMBERS: SMITH, BRADLEY, VORONCHIHIN, BELTRAN**  
**NOES: BOARDMEMBERS: NONE**  
**DISQUALIFIED: BOARDMEMBERS: HUNTER**

Vice Chair Hunter returned to the Council Chambers at 5:23 p.m.

**2.2 2019 RESILIENT IMPERIAL BEACH (RIB) LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM/GENERAL PLAN (LCP/GP) UPDATE; IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (IP); CLIMATE ACTION PLAN (CAP) AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION (ND) (MF 1234).**

City Planner Nakagawa gave a PowerPoint presentation on the item.

Michael Carey thanked the consultants for their efforts. He gave a brief history of the changes to Title 19 and the CMU zoning designation. He shared his concerns with state regulations as they affect the local zoning. He stated he was in support of the new revisions to the requirements to qualify for height and density bonuses on a CUP. He urged the board members to support the changes.

Mitch McKay thanked staff for meeting and working with him on changes he would like to see in the LCP. He voiced concerns regarding the 22 pages of revisions to the LCP submitted by the Coastal Commission and was concerned that his suggested changes would be removed by a group that has more leverage. He stated the version of the LCP on the website is not current as far as suggested changes from the public and the revisions from the Coastal Commission. He commented the Revell report was very shortsighted.

Ruth Cole stated she was a member of the ad hoc committee. She was in favor of mixed-use projects if they complied with R15 high density residential requirements. She stated it was important to develop alternative transportation in the City and agreed that at least 2 of the 3 density bonuses should be required.

In response to Chair Smith's question, Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated that the LCP is a working document, a new draft is not released every time there are new

comments/suggestions. All the comments and suggestions are being compiled and a final draft will be available prior to the City Council meeting in June.

Assistant City Manager Dush stated information is being received up until the last minute, staff will review all comments and not all the recommendations received will be incorporated in the document. There are some issues the City will be pushing back on as far as the comments received from the Coastal Commission.

Chair Smith stated he had reservations on the sea level rise portion of the LCP.

Assistant City Manager Dush stated that the biggest takeaway for the city will be establishing a baseline. One of the elements of the LCP requires a lot of monitoring, tracking and checking frequent severity of the storms, and continued engagement with the community for receiving input, which is important, so the City can figure out what types of adaptation strategies to deploy.

Chair Smith stated that two meetings ago he suggested removing Table 7-1, however Table S-1 should also be removed from the plan.

Vice Chair Hunter stated she was not comfortable with giving recommendations on issues that have not been proven, especially if the information only came from one source. She agreed with Chair Smith that table S-1 should be removed as it states that by 2047 90% of Seacoast Drive will be vulnerable. The table leaves the City no hope and developers will not want to come in and develop if it will be underwater. She inquired how the City could afford implementation strategies if the City is not building and selling. Even though the community has issues with density, the State is requiring cities to have a certain number of affordable housing. The only option the City will have will be to build up. She stated there is not enough data and suggested having access to the comments from the Coastal Commission.

Assistant City Manager Dush stated at this time staff was looking for comments/input from the board as far as the design aspect of the LCP.

Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz stated the table in question (mentioned above) was taken from the vulnerability assessment. It states the vulnerabilities that will result if no adaptation is done. The LCP is not making any decisions as to what the city is going to do or what the thresholds are, it's simply stating the City's policies and the need to collect data. Once the data is received there will be future meetings to discuss what strategies the City will put in place.

In response to various questions from the board members, staff stated the foundation is being laid so that zoning codes can be approved later, at this time the housing element is not being looked at, the design element should encourage designs that address future flooding events and stated the current incentives for higher density or height for projects includes 60% commercial use. Current developments that have a full completed application will not be subject to the new requirements. Language that resembled "eminent domain" has been removed and as far as eminent domain and managed retreat, neither one of those are strategies the City is intending to use. The City does not necessarily have to comply with the comments from the Coastal Commission but can demonstrate they have complied in other ways. Once the initial LCP draft is updated, it will be going to City Council on June 19<sup>th</sup> and the draft will be available for review prior to the meeting

#### **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/REPORTS**

None.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Smith adjourned the Regular Meeting at 6:33 p.m.

---

Peter Smith,  
Chair

---

Sunem Carballo, CMC  
Deputy City Clerk

**CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH  
PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE**

**MINUTES**

**May 20, 2019**

**City of Imperial Beach Council Chambers  
825 Imperial Beach Boulevard  
Imperial Beach, CA 91932**

**REGULAR MEETING – 4:30 p.m.**

**1. CALL TO ORDER.**

Chair Klosinski called the Regular Meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

**2. ROLL CALL.**

Chair present: Klosinski  
Vice Chair present: Rivera  
Members present: O’Neal, Stucki  
Members absent: Blinsman  
Alternate present: Aguilar  
Staff present: Assistant City Manager Dush, Environmental and Natural Resources Director Helmer, City Planner Nakagawa, Deputy City Clerk Carballo

**3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.**

Chair Klosinski led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**4. PUBLIC COMMENTS.**

None.

**5. REPORTS.**

**A. MINUTES.**

**MOTION BY STUCKI, SECOND BY RIVERA, TO APPROVE THE REGULAR MINUTES OF APRIL 15, 2019. MOTION CARRIED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:**

**AYES: MEMBERS: STUCKI, O’NEAL, RIVERA, KLOSINSKI  
NOES: MEMBERS: NONE  
ABSENT: MEMBERS: BLINSMAN**

**B. UPDATE ON PARKS.**

Environmental and Natural Resources Director Helmer provided updates on: the grant application for improvements to Veterans Park, outreach with the Boys and Girls Club, the Teeple Park playground project and construction on the Imperial Beach Blvd. Enhancement Project.

**C. 2019 RESILIENT IMPERIAL BEACH (RIB) LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM/ GENERAL PLAN (LCP/GP) UPDATE; IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (IP); CLIMATE ACTION PLAN (CAP) AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION (ND) (MF 1234).**

City Planner Nakagawa gave a PowerPoint presentation on the item.

Mitch McKay thanked city staff on their efforts on the LCP. He voiced concerns regarding the 22 pages of comments submitted by the Coastal Commission and that those comments have not been shared publicly. The City website contains March 25 version of the Draft LCP but it doesn’t included the Coastal Commission comments. He stated the community and the committees should be able to see the final LCP before it goes to the City Council for a vote.

Vice Chair Rivera commented that sea level rise has a large impact. State Farm has put a moratorium on any property within 1000 feet of the beach.

**E. DEVELOPMENT UPDATES.**

Assistant Director of Community Development Foltz provided an update on the Imperial Beach Blvd. Enhancement Project stating it should be completed next year, the Hampton Inn which is currently under construction, the Blue Wave project, and the IB Resort which is still in the process of submitting a completed application package.

**6. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/REPORTS.**

Chair Klosinski and Member Stucki stated they would be unavailable for the June meeting.

**7. ADJOURNMENT.**

Chair Klosinski adjourned the meeting at 4:53 p.m.

---

Brooke Klosinski,  
Chair

---

Sunem Carballo, CMC  
Deputy City Clerk

DRAFT

RESOLUTION NO. 2019-0001 ALUC

A RESOLUTION OF THE AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY MAKING A DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT: GENERAL PLAN UPDATE TO IMPLEMENT THE NAVAL OUTLYING LANDING FIELD IMPERIAL BEACH AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN, CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH, IS CONSISTENT WITH THE NAVAL OUTLYING LANDING FIELD IMPERIAL BEACH AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN

WHEREAS, the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, acting in its capacity as the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) for San Diego County, pursuant to Section 21670.3 of the Public Utilities Code, was requested by the City of Imperial Beach to determine the consistency of a proposed project: General Plan Update to Implement the Naval Outlying Landing Field Imperial Beach Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan, City of Imperial Beach, which is located within the Airport Influence Area (AIA) of the Naval Outlying Landing Field (NOLF) Imperial Beach Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP), adopted in 2015; and

WHEREAS, the proposed project is adoption of an update to the City of Imperial Beach General Plan, which applies the noise exposure contour, airspace protection, and safety zone compatibility criteria of the ALUCP to the use of properties under the city's land use jurisdiction located within the AIA; and

WHEREAS, until a local agency either makes its general plan consistent with the ALUCP or takes action to overrule the ALUCP, all proposed land use actions must be referred to the ALUC for a consistency determination; and

WHEREAS, referral of individual land use projects to the ALUC is not mandatory when the ALUC has deemed a local agency's general plan consistent with an ALUCP, except for the following actions: approval of and/or an amendment to a general, specific, or other land use plan, zoning ordinance (including rezones), or building regulation; or, any project that has been determined to be an airspace hazard by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA); and

WHEREAS, the proposed project implements the noise exposure contour, airspace protection, and safety zone compatibility criteria of the ALUCP for affected properties within the AIA under the land use jurisdiction of the City of Imperial Beach; and

WHEREAS, the ALUC has considered the information provided by staff, including information in the staff report and other relevant material regarding the project; and

WHEREAS, the ALUC has provided an opportunity for the City of Imperial Beach and interested members of the public to present information regarding this matter.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the ALUC determines that the proposed project: General Plan Update to Implement the NOLF Imperial Beach Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan, City of Imperial Beach, is consistent with the NOLF Imperial Beach ALUCP, adopted in 2015, based upon the following facts and findings:

- (1) The proposed project consists of an update to the City of Imperial Beach General Plan, which applies the noise exposure contour, airspace protection, and safety zone compatibility criteria of the ALUCP to the use of properties located within the AIA under the city's land use jurisdiction.
- (2) Pursuant to the ALUCP and Public Utilities Code §21676, referral of only certain specified actions to the ALUC for consistency determination continues to be mandatory after the ALUC has deemed a local agency General Plan consistent with the ALUCP. The following actions remain mandatory for ALUC review: approval of and/or an amendment to a general, specific, or other land use plan, zoning ordinance (including rezones), or building regulation; and, any project that has been determined to be an airspace hazard by the FAA.
- (3) The proposed project incorporates policies that implement the requirements of the ALUCP for affected properties within the AIA under the land use jurisdiction of the City of Imperial Beach.
- (4) Therefore, the proposed project is consistent with the NOLF Imperial Beach ALUCP; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the ALUC finds this determination is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065, and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act, Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the ALUC for San Diego County at a special meeting this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners: Boling, Cox, Desmond, Lloyd, Robinson, Schiavoni, West

NOES: Commissioners: None

ABSENT: Commissioners: Kersey, Schumacher

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TONY R. RUSSELL  
DIRECTOR, BOARD SERVICES /  
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
AMY GONZALEZ  
GENERAL COUNSEL